

LECTURE 1

i) Definition of a group and examples

Definition. A group G is a set $S = \{g\}$ such that for any two elements $g_1, g_2 \in S$, a composition law \circ called a product is defined. It has the properties:

- 1) $g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \circ g_2 \in G$. We write $g_1 \circ g_2 = g_1 g_2$.
- 2) The product is associative : $g_1(g_2 g_3) = (g_1 g_2)g_3$.
- 3) G contains an identity e . That is, $ge = eg = g, \forall g \in G$.

It follows that the identity is unique. For if e' is another identity, then $ee' = e = e'$. e is sometimes written as 1, and if the composition law is addition (+), then it is written as 0.

- 4) For every $g \in G$ there is an inverse, $g^{-1} \in G$, such that $gg^{-1} = g^{-1}g = e$.

The inverse is unique. For if $e = g^{-1}g = \bar{g}g$, multiply on the right by $g^{-1} \Rightarrow g^{-1} = \bar{g}$.

Definition. The order of a group is the number of elements in it. It can be finite, countably infinite, or uncountably infinite.

Examples:

- 1) The permutation group S_n on n objects. The order is $n!$.
Take n objects, number them 1, 2, \dots , n . Put them in n boxes in a

row. For $n = 4$, we could have : $\boxed{2 \mid 1 \mid 3 \mid 4}$

A permutation $s \in S_n$, written as

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

sends object k to object s_k . Thus if

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$s \boxed{2 \mid 1 \mid 3 \mid 4} = \boxed{1 \mid 4 \mid 3 \mid 2}.$$

The order of the columns of s is immaterial.

The group arises here from maps of an underlying set (the set being objects in boxes). It is a ‘transformation group’. We now verify the group properties:

Closure :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ t_{s_1} & t_{s_2} & \dots & t_{s_n} \end{pmatrix} \in S_n.$$

Associativity :

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ t_{s_1} & \dots & t_{s_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ u_{t_{s_1}} & \dots & u_{t_{s_n}} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ u_{t_1} & \dots & u_{t_n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ u_{t_{s_1}} & \dots & u_{t_{s_n}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Identity:

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ 1 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Inverse:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_n \\ 1 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

S_n can also be thought of as linear transformations on a complex n -dimensional vector space \mathbb{C}^n . Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be a basis of this vector space. Define a family of $n!$ linear operators by

$$L \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix} \right] \sum_i \xi_i e_i = \sum_i \xi_i e_{s_i}$$

where $\sum_i \xi_i e_i$ is a generic vector of \mathbb{C}^n . If s, t are permutations, then one sees easily that $L(s)L(t) = L(st)$. The set $\{L(s)\}$ is clearly a group with group multiplication as operator multiplication. It can also be thought of as S_n . [See Lecture 2.]

S_n appears in the discussion of i) Pauli exclusion principle in quantum mechanics and ii) some continuous groups and all finite groups.

2) The rotation group $O(3)$ in 3 dimensions.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^3 =$ real three-dimensional vector space, i.e., $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_i \text{ real}\}$. The linear transformations $x \rightarrow Rx, x_i \rightarrow R_{ij}x_j$, which preserve the scalar product $(x, y) = \sum x_i y_i$ are such that $R^T R = 1$ (hence R is real and orthogonal), and $\det R^2 = 1$ or $\det R = 1$ or -1 .

The set of all real, orthogonal matrices with $\det = +1$ is the group $SO(3)$ of rotations *without* inversions. (“Det” is an abbreviation for determinant.) The set of all rotations (including reflections) is the group $O(3)$. The set of all elements with $\det = -1$ does not form a group. (There is no identity, and the set is not closed under multiplication.)

Let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in O(3)$$

be “parity”. We have $\det P = -1$ and $P^2 = e$. If $R \in O(3)$ had $\det R = -1$, write $R = PR'$ (where $R' = PR$). Here $R' \in O(3)$ and $\det R' = +1 \Rightarrow R' \in SO(3) \Rightarrow O(3) = SO(3) \cup PSO(3)$.

The corresponding groups in n dimensions are $SO(n), O(n)$.

3) The group $SU(2) = \{g\}$. Here g is a 2×2 unitary matrix with $\det g = +1$. $SU(2)$ leaves $(z, z') = \sum_{i=1}^2 z_i^* z'_i$ invariant. A similar definition holds for $SU(n)$ while $U(n) = \{g\}$, g being any $n \times n$ unitary matrix.

4) The Lorentz group $\mathcal{L} = \{\Lambda\}$. Λ is a real 4×4 matrix which leaves the form $(x, y) = \sum x_\mu y^\mu = x_0 y_0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i y_i$ invariant. If

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ 0 & & & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$(x, y) = x_i \eta_{ij} y_j$$

and

$$\Lambda^T g \Lambda = g.$$

5) The Poincaré group \mathcal{P} consists of all Lorentz transformations and translations. An element of \mathcal{P} is (a, Λ) where $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$. On a four-vector x ,

$$(a, \Lambda)x = \Lambda x + a,$$

i.e. first Lorentz transform, and then translate. [This choice of order is only a convention.]

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (a', \Lambda')(a, \Lambda)x &= (a', \Lambda')(\Lambda x + a) \\ &= (\Lambda'(\Lambda x + a) + a') \\ &= (\Lambda' a + a', \Lambda' \Lambda)x \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(a', \Lambda')(a, \Lambda) = (a' + \Lambda' a, \Lambda' \Lambda).$$

The identity is $(0, 1)$ and the inverse of (a, Λ) is

$$(a, \Lambda)^{-1} = (-\Lambda^{-1} a, \Lambda^{-1}).$$

The groups above appear concretely as *transformation groups* on underlying sets. In the associated *abstract* group, we just consider the group structure (and forget about their origin as transformation groups).

LECTURE 2

i) Mapping and functions for sets

Consider two sets E and F , which can be either distinct or the same.

A law f which assigns to each $x \in E$, a unique element $f(x) \in F$ is a function from E to F .

If to each $y \in F$, there is an $x \in E$ such that $y = f(x)$, then the map f is onto F .

If the image of E under f is not all of F , the map is into F .

If to each $y \in F$, \exists only one $x \in E$ such that $f(x) = y$, the map is one-to-one (1-1). In this case,

$$f(x_2) = f(x_1) \Rightarrow x_2 = x_1.$$

The symbol f^{-1} is defined by: for $y \in F$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is the *set* in E such that each member of the set is mapped to y by f . f^{-1} is in general not a function unless f is 1-1.

ii) Isomorphisms and homomorphisms

Assume that the mapping is onto. For if the mapping were not onto, we can restrict attention to the image.

1) A map f of a group G onto a group G' is a homomorphism if it preserves the group laws, i.e.

$$f(g_1)f(g_2) = f(g_1g_2).$$

This rule implies the following :

(a) If $e \in G$, $e' \in G'$ are identities then $f(e) = e'$. For $f(e)f(g) = f(eg) = f(ge) = f(g)f(e) = f(g)$. Since f is an onto map, and e' is unique, $f(e) = e'$.

(b) $f(g^{-1}) = f(g)^{-1}$. For $f(g^{-1})f(g) = f(g^{-1}g) = f(e) = e'$.

The set of all elements in G mapped to e' by f is called the *kernel* of the homomorphism f and denoted as $\text{Ker } f$.

2) If in 1), f is 1-1, then the homomorphism is an *isomorphism*. Then G and G' as groups are identified.

3) If $G' = G$, then replace “homo” by “endo”, and “iso” by “auto” in the above.

Examples:

1) Let

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ s_1 & \dots & s_n \end{pmatrix} \in S_n.$$

Let $L(s)$ be the linear operator on \mathbb{C}^n with basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n defined by

$$L(s)e_i = e_{s_i}.$$

Then $\{L(s)\}$ forms a group under multiplication, $L(s)L(t) = L(st)$. Call this group $S'_n \equiv \{L(s)\}_{s \in S_n}$. Then

$$L : S_n \longrightarrow S'_n, s \xrightarrow{L} L(s)$$

is an isomorphism.

2) Let g_0 be a fixed element in a group G . Consider

$$G \ni g \xrightarrow{f_{g_0}} g_0 g g_0^{-1} \in G.$$

This map is an automorphism, a so-called *inner* automorphism.

Proof :

$$1 - 1 : g_0 g g_0^{-1} = g_0 g' g_0^{-1} \Rightarrow g = g'$$

Onto : For arbitrary $g \in G$, $g_0^{-1} g g_0 \in G$ and this is mapped by f_{g_0} to g .

Homomorphism: $f_{g_0}(g_1)f_{g_0}(g_2) = (g_0 g_1 g_0^{-1})(g_0 g_2 g_0^{-1}) = g_0(g_1 g_2)g_0^{-1} = f_{g_0}(g_1 g_2)$.

iii) $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$

There is a 2-1 homomorphism R from $SU(2)$ onto $SO(3)$ with $\pm U \in SU(2)$ having the same image $R(U) \in SO(3)$. $SU(2)$ is called the *covering group* (in fact the *universal covering group*) of $SO(3)$.

We show the following [and hence the existence of the 2-1 homomorphism]:

1. There exists an R which maps U to a real 3×3 matrix $R(U)$ such that

$$R(U_1)R(U_2) = R(U_1U_2).$$

2. The map is into $SO(3)$. [i.e. $R(U)^T R(U) = 1$, $\det R(U) = +1$.]
3. The map is onto $SO(3)$.
4. $R(U_1) = R(U_2) \Rightarrow U_1 = \pm U_2$.

Proofs:

1) Let τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 be Pauli matrices : $\tau_i^\dagger = \tau_i$, $\text{tr } \tau_i = 0$. Any 2×2 matrix m is a linear combination of the unit matrix 1 and τ_i :

$$m = \alpha_0 1 + \alpha_i \tau_i.$$

If m is traceless and $m^\dagger = m$, then

$$\alpha_0 = 0$$

and

$$\alpha_i \tau_i = \alpha_i^* \tau_i \text{ or } \alpha_i^* = \alpha_i.$$

Thus any traceless hermitian 2×2 matrix is a real linear combination of the τ_i 's.

Now consider $U \tau_i U^\dagger = \tau'_i$ with $U \in SU(2)$. Then

$$\text{Tr } \tau'_i = 0, \tau'_i{}^\dagger = \tau'_i \Rightarrow U \tau_i U^\dagger = R_{ji}(U) \tau_j$$

where the real $R_{ji}(U)$ are uniquely defined from U by linear independence of the τ 's. So $U \rightarrow R(U)$ is a map.

Thus for each U , we have a real 3×3 matrix $R(U)$.

For $V \in SU(2)$,

$$VU \tau_i U^\dagger V^\dagger = (VU) \tau_i (VU)^\dagger$$

or

$$V \{R_{ki}(U) \tau_k\} V^\dagger = R_{ji}(VU) \tau_j$$

or

$$R_{ki}(U)R_{jk}(V)\tau_j = R_{ji}(VU)\tau_j$$

or

$$R(V)R(U) = R(VU).$$

2) Using the identity $\tau_i \tau_j = \delta_{ij} 1 + i\epsilon_{ijk} \tau_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U\tau_i\tau_jU^\dagger &= U\tau_iU^\dagger U\tau_jU^\dagger \\ &= R_{li}(U)\tau_l R_{mj}(U)\tau_m \\ &= R_{li}R_{mj}\{\delta_{lm} + i\epsilon_{lmn}\tau_n\} \\ &= (R^T R)_{ij}1 + i\{R_{li}R_{mj}\epsilon_{lmn}\tau_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} U\tau_i\tau_jU^\dagger &= \delta_{ij}1 + i\epsilon_{ijk}R_{nk}\tau_n. \\ &\Rightarrow R^T R = 1 \text{ or } R \in O(3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_{li}R_{mj}\epsilon_{lmn} = \epsilon_{ijk}R_{nk}.$$

Now multiply by R_{ns} and use $R^T R = 1$. Then

$$R_{li}R_{mj}R_{ns}\epsilon_{lmn} = \epsilon_{ijs},$$

i.e. $\det R = 1$.

So

$$R \in SO(3).$$

LECTURE 3

i) $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ (continued)

We have shown that the image of $SU(2)$ under R is contained in $SO(3)$. We want to show the equality, i.e. $\{R(U)\} = SO(3)$.

3) To show that the map R is onto $SO(3)$:

Let $S \in SO(3)$. We know that $S = S_3(\gamma)S_2(\beta)S_3(\alpha)$ where $S_i(\phi) \equiv$ rotation by ϕ around the i^{th} axis. We show that $U_3(\alpha)$ exists such that $R[U_3(\alpha)] = S_3(\alpha)$. Similarly one finds $U_2(\beta)$, $U_3(\gamma)$. Finally because R is a homomorphism, it follows that $U_3(\gamma)U_2(\beta)U_3(\alpha) \xrightarrow{R} S$.

Now

$$S_3(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find $U_3(\alpha)$ such that $U_3(\alpha) \tau_i U_3(\alpha)^\dagger = S_3(\alpha)_{ji} \tau_j$, we first write out each term in this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} U_3(\alpha) \tau_1 U_3(\alpha)^\dagger &= \cos \alpha \tau_1 - \sin \alpha \tau_2, \\ U_3(\alpha) \tau_2 U_3(\alpha)^\dagger &= \sin \alpha \tau_1 + \cos \alpha \tau_2, \\ U_3(\alpha) \tau_3 U_3(\alpha)^\dagger &= \tau_3. \end{aligned}$$

Try

$$\begin{aligned} U_3(\alpha) &= e^{i\frac{\tau_3}{2}\alpha} \\ &= \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + i \tau_3 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \in SU(2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_3(\alpha)^\dagger &= e^{-i\frac{\tau_3}{2}\alpha} \\ &= \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - i \tau_3 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_3(\alpha) \tau_2 U_3(\alpha)^\dagger &= \left[\tau_1 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \tau_2 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right] \left[\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - i \tau_3 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right] \\ &= \tau_1 \left(\cos^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) + \tau_2 \left(-2 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \\ &= \cos \alpha \tau_1 - \sin \alpha \tau_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the rest of the equations.

Finally we show that the map R is two-to-one.

4) To show that the map is $2 \rightarrow 1$:

(a) $R(U) = R(-U)$ so that $\pm U$ are mapped to the same $R(U)$.

(b) If $U \tau_i U^\dagger = V \tau_i V^\dagger$, then $U = \pm V$. For then,

$$V^\dagger U \tau_i = \tau_i V^\dagger U$$

or

$$[V^\dagger U, \tau_i] = 0.$$

But $[V^\dagger U, 1] = 0$, so $[V^\dagger U, M] = 0$ for any M . Consequently

$$V^\dagger U = \alpha_0 1.$$

Taking the determinant we have

$$\alpha_0^2 = 1$$

or

$$\alpha_0 = \pm 1.$$

This completes the proof.

ii) Subgroups

A subgroup H of a group G is a (non-empty) subset of G which itself forms a group with respect to the group composition defined on G .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h \in H &\Rightarrow h^{-1} \in H. \\ h_1, h_2 \in H &\Rightarrow h_1 h_2 \in H. \\ e &\in H. \end{aligned}$$

Examples:

1) S_3 has three distinct S_2 subgroups consisting of e and permutation of i and j alone. All are isomorphic.

2) $SO(3)$ consists of an infinite number of $SO(2)$ subgroups. A typical one will be all rotations about a fixed axis \hat{n} :

$$\{e^{i\hat{n}\cdot\vec{J}\theta} | \hat{n} \text{ fixed, all } \theta\},$$

$\vec{J} \equiv$ spin one angular momentum operator.

They are all isomorphic. The isomorphism map is:

$$e^{i\hat{n}\cdot\vec{J}\theta} \rightarrow e^{i\hat{m}\cdot\vec{J}\theta}.$$

3) Real orthogonal matrices are also unitary. So $O(n) \subset U(n)$, $SO(n) \subset SU(n)$. Also, $U(n) \supset U(n-1) \supset U(n-2) \supset \dots \supset U(1)$.

4) G = the Poincaré group. The translations $\mathcal{T}_4 = \{(a, 1)\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}^4}$ form a subgroup of G . The Lorentz transformations $O(3, 1) = \{(0, \Lambda)\}$ form a subgroup of G .

iii) Cosets and invariant subgroups

Let H be a subgroup of a group G . Then the *left coset* of H with respect to an element $g \in G$ is the set $gH \equiv \{gh | h \in H\}$. (Similarly right cosets are given by $Hg = \{hg | h \in H\}$. The space of left (right) cosets is $\{gH\}_{g \in G}$ ($\{Hg\}_{g \in G}$).

We will prove the following:

- (1) $G = \cup_g gH$.
- (2) $gH \cap g'H$ is either $gH = g'H$ or \emptyset (the null set).
- (3) If $\bar{g} \in gH$, then $\bar{g}H = gH$.

Thus if we say that g_1 is equivalent to g_2 , $g_1 \sim g_2$, when g_1 and g_2 are in the same left coset, then the symbol \sim is an equivalence relation. That is, it is symmetric ($g_1 \sim g_2 \Rightarrow g_2 \sim g_1$), reflexive ($g \sim g$) and transitive ($g_1 \sim g_2, g_2 \sim g_3 \Rightarrow g_1 \sim g_3$). Also since left cosets are identical or totally

disjoint, we can label them by picking one element \bar{g} from each left coset gH . Then automatically, $\bar{g}H = gH$.

Similar statements hold for right cosets.

(1) is due to the fact that $e \in H$ and hence $g \in gH$.

(2) and (3) are proved by the following lemmas.

Lemma 1

g_1 and g_2 are in the same left coset iff $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in H$.

If: Let $g_1^{-1}g_2 = h \in H$. Then $g_2 = g_1h$ or $g_2H = g_1hH = g_1H$. ($hH = H$ because H is a group.)

Only if: Suppose $g_1, g_2 \in gH$. Then $\exists h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $g_1 = gh_1$ and $g_2 = gh_2$. Consequently

$$g_1^{-1}g_2 = h_1^{-1}h_2 \in H.$$

Lemma 2

For any two cosets g_1H, g_2H , either $g_1H = g_2H$ or $g_1H \cap g_2H = \emptyset$.

Proof:

Suppose g_1H and g_2H have an element g in common. Then $\exists h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $g = g_1h_1 = g_2h_2$. This implies $g_1^{-1}g_2 = h_1h_2^{-1} \in H$ and (by Lemma 1) $g_1H = g_2H$.

Lemma 3

If $\bar{g} \in gH$, then $\bar{g}H = gH$.

Lemma 2 gives the result since both the cosets contain \bar{g} , e being in H .

A subgroup $H \subset G$ is an *invariant* or a *normal* subgroup if $gHg^{-1} = H$ for all $g \in G$.

Note that now each $g \in G$ induces an automorphism f_g of H where $f_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$.

LECTURE 4

i) Cosets and invariant subgroups (continued)

Examples:

1) Let V_n be an n -dimensional vector space. It is a group under vector addition. If V_m is an m -dimensional subspace of V_n , it is an invariant subgroup.

2) G = the Poincaré group. It contains 4-dimensional translations $\mathcal{T}_4 = \{(a, 1)\}$ as an invariant subgroup.

3) $G = SO(3)$, H = rotations around 3rd axis = $\{R_3(\gamma)\}$. This H is not an invariant subgroup of G .

Lemma

If H is an invariant subgroup of G , the left and right cosets are the same.

So in this case one can talk of cosets without specifying left or right and denote the space of cosets by

$$G/H = \{gH\} = \{Hg\}.$$

Theorem

If H is an invariant subgroup of G , G/H is a group, the factor group of G with respect to H . The multiplication rule is set multiplication of cosets. The identity is H .

For

$$gHg'H = gg'HH = gg'H = \text{another coset};$$

$$gHH = gH \text{ or } H \text{ is the identity};$$

$$(gH)^{-1} = g^{-1}H \text{ is the inverse since } g^{-1}HgH = H.$$

Examples:

1) $G = O(3)$; $H = SO(3)$. Because $\det(ghg^{-1}) = \det h = 1$, H is an invariant subgroup of G .

If $g \notin H$, $g = Ph$ where $h \in H$ and

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $gH = PH$ and the cosets are H and PH . The group multiplication table becomes:

	H	PH
H	H	PH
PH	PH	H

This group is isomorphic to S_2 .

2) $G =$ the Poincaré group, $H = T_4$. Since

$$T_4(a, \Lambda) = T_4(a, 1)(0, \Lambda) = T_4(0, \Lambda),$$

these cosets are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the Lorentz group $\{\Lambda\}$. Since

$$T_4(0, \Lambda)T_4(0, \Lambda') = T_4(0, \Lambda\Lambda'),$$

G/H is isomorphic to the Lorentz group.

3) $G = S_n$, $H = A_n =$ the alternating subgroup = the set of all even permutations. [Any permutation s can be written as $t_1 \dots t_k$ where t_i is a transposition. If k is even (odd), then s is even (odd)(see Lecture 11).]

Clearly A_n is a group. Also since $a \in A_n$ implies sas^{-1} is even, A_n is an invariant subgroup.

If s is odd, then $s = t_0a$ where t_0 is any transposition and a is even. Also

$$a \text{ even} \Rightarrow aA_n = A_n$$

while

$$s \text{ odd} \Rightarrow A_n = t_0A_n.$$

So

$$S_n/A_n = S_2.$$

ii) Conjugate elements and classes

We say g_1 and g_2 are conjugate to each other if $\exists g_0 \in G$ such that

$$g_2 = g_0 g_1 g_0^{-1}.$$

Let us write $g_1 \sim g_2$ if such a g_0 exists in G . The relation \sim is symmetric, reflexive, and transitive, i.e. a) $g_1 \sim g_2$ iff $g_2 \sim g_1$; b) $g \sim g$; c) $g_1 \sim g_2$, $g_2 \sim g_3 \Rightarrow g_1 \sim g_3$. So \sim is an equivalence relation. The set of all such equivalent g 's forms a class.

Examples:

1. The class containing $e \in G$ is $\{e\}$.
2. $G = SO(3)$. Consider a rotation by θ around the axis \hat{n} :

$$g = e^{i\hat{n} \cdot \vec{j}\theta} = g(\hat{n}, \theta).$$

If R is a rotation, then

$$R J_i R^{-1} = R_{ji} J_j.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} R g R^{-1} &= \exp \{i \hat{n}_i R J_i R^{-1} \theta\} \\ &= \exp \{i R_{ji} \hat{n}_i J_j \theta\}. \end{aligned}$$

So the class containing this g is that of rotations about every axis by the same angle θ .

iii) Simple groups

Definition. A group G is *simple* if it has no invariant subgroup besides the identity and itself.

Examples:

1. S_2 is simple.
2. $SO(3)$ and the group of proper orthochronous Lorentz transformations. \mathcal{L}_+^\uparrow are simple. [We omit the proofs.]
3. $SU(2)$ is not simple as it has the invariant subgroup

$$Z_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

4. $SU(n)$ is not simple as it has the invariant subgroup

$$Z_n = \left\{ \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi}{n}k\right) 1 \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

5. The group $SO(2)$ is Abelian, so every discrete subgroup of $SO(2)$ is invariant. Therefore, $SO(2)$ is not simple. [See next lecture for the definition of Abelian groups.]

6. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is $\{g\}$ where the element g is a 2×2 complex matrix with $\det g = +1$. It is not simple because the subgroup

$$Z_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, -\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

is invariant.

LECTURE 5

i) Abelian and semi-simple groups

Definition. A group $G = \{g_\alpha\}$ is Abelian if $g_\alpha g_\beta = g_\beta g_\alpha, \forall g_\alpha, g_\beta$.

Examples:

- 1) Translations in \mathbb{R}^n are Abelian.
- 2) $SO(2)$ is Abelian.

Definition. A group G is *semi-simple* if it has no Abelian invariant subgroup besides the identity and itself.

Examples:

- 1) Any discrete subgroup of $SO(2)$ is Abelian and invariant. So $SO(2)$ is not semi-simple.
- 2) $SU(n)$ is not semi-simple since

$$Z_n = \left\{ e^{2\pi i k/n} \mathbf{1} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}$$

is an Abelian invariant subgroup.

- 3) The Poincaré group is not semi-simple since translations form an Abelian invariant subgroup.

ii) Representations of a group

Given a group $G = \{g\}$, a representation $\Gamma = \{T(g)\}$ of G is a set of linear operators on a vector space which forms a group under the usual product rule of linear operators such that

$$g \xrightarrow{T} T(g)$$

is a homomorphism. The vector space V on which Γ acts is the carrier or support space of the representation.

We will freely interchange the use of linear operators with matrices in view of their well-known correspondence.

The representation is called *faithful* if T is an isomorphism.

Examples:

1) $SO(3)$ is a representation of $SU(2)$ which is not faithful. However, $SU(2)$ is not a representation of $SO(3)$. The spin j representation of $SU(2)$ is $\Gamma^{(j)} = \{D^{(j)}\}$ where $D^{(j)}$ are rotation matrices for angular momentum $j = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots$. For j half an odd integer, the representation is faithful for $SU(2)$, but not so for j an integer. For j an integer, they are representations of $SO(3)$ and when $j \neq 0$, they are faithful representations of $SO(3)$. [For proof, see Lectures 3 and 25.]

2)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$P^2, P^3, P^4 = e$ form a group. Now the fourth roots of unity are $e^{2\pi ik/4}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Define

$$T(P^k) = e^{2\pi ik/4} 1.$$

$T(P^k)$ is a linear operator on the one-dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^1 = \{z\}$. $\Gamma = \{T(P^k)\}$ is a faithful representation of the above group.

3) A four-dimensional representation of $SO(3)$ is given by

$$SO(3) \ni R \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A $3n$ -dimensional representation is given by

$$R \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & R & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & R & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

4) Suppose S is a fixed 3×3 non-singular matrix and $R \in SO(3)$. Then the map $R \rightarrow SRS^{-1}$ gives a representation $\{SRS^{-1}\}$ of $SO(3)$.

iii) The regular representations

Consider the set V of all functions from G to \mathbb{C} :

$$G \ni g \xrightarrow{f \in V} f(g) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Define for α, β complex numbers and $f_1, f_2 \in V$ the function $\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2$ by

$$(\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2)(g) = \alpha f_1(g) + \beta f_2(g).$$

This makes V into a complex vector space.

For each $g \in G$, define the linear operator $T(g)$ on V as follows: For any f , $T(g)f$ is the function defined by

$$[T(g)f](g') = f(g^{-1}g').$$

For $g, \bar{g} \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} [T(g)T(\bar{g})f](g') &= [T(\bar{g})f](g^{-1}g') \\ &= f(\bar{g}^{-1}g^{-1}g') \\ &= f[(g\bar{g})^{-1}g'] \\ &= [T(g\bar{g})f](g'). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$T(g)T(\bar{g}) = T(g\bar{g}),$$

and $\{T(g)\}$ is a representation of G , called the *left regular representation*.

Now define $S(g)$ on V by

$$[S(g)f](g') = f(g'g).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [S(g)S(\bar{g})f](g') &= [S(\bar{g})f](g'g) \\ &= f(g'g\bar{g}) \\ &= [S(g\bar{g})f](g') \end{aligned}$$

or

$$S(g)S(\bar{g}) = S(g\bar{g}).$$

Thus $\{S(g)\}$ is a representation of G , called the *right regular representation*.

For finite groups, define the scalar product

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_g \alpha^*(g)\beta(g)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in V$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T(\bar{g})\alpha, T(\bar{g})\beta) &= \sum_g \alpha^*(\bar{g}^{-1}g)\beta(\bar{g}^{-1}g) \\ &= (\alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(g)$'s (and similarly $S(g)$'s) are unitary in this scalar product.

Definition. Given two representations

$$\Gamma_1 = \{D^{(1)}(g)\} \text{ and } \Gamma_2 = \{D^{(2)}(g)\}$$

on vector spaces V_1, V_2 , they are *equivalent* if there exists a non-singular $(1-1, \text{ onto})$ linear operator S from V_1 onto V_2 such that

$$SD^{(1)}(g)S^{-1} = D^{(2)}(g).$$

LECTURE 6

i) Reducibility of representations

Suppose one is given a representation Γ on a vector space V . A subspace V_0 of V is invariant under a linear transformation T if $TV_0 \subset V_0$, that is, if $x_0 \in V_0$ implies $Tx_0 \in V_0$. A subspace V_0 is invariant under $\Gamma = \{D(g)\}$ if it is invariant under every $D(g)$. A representation Γ is reducible if there is a subspace $V_0 (\neq \{0\} \text{ or } V)$ of V which is invariant under Γ .

Suppose Γ is reducible. Choose a basis e_1, \dots, e_n for V where e_1, \dots, e_m span V_0 . The matrix $D(g)$ in this basis is of the form

$$D(g) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g) & \alpha(g) \\ 0 & D_2(g) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $D_1(g)$ is $m \times m$, $\alpha(g)$ is $m \times (n - m)$ and $D_2(g)$ is $(n - m) \times (n - m)$. Conversely if $D(g)$ does take this form in some basis, then vectors of the form $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ are clearly invariant under Γ , that is, they span an invariant subspace. Thus Γ is reducible iff all $D(g)$'s are of the above form in some basis.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D(g_1)D(g_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g_1) & \alpha(g_1) \\ 0 & D_2(g_1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g_2) & \alpha(g_2) \\ 0 & D_2(g_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g_1)D_1(g_2) & D_1(g_1)\alpha(g_2) + \alpha(g_1)D_2(g_2) \\ 0 & D_2(g_1)D_2(g_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= D(g_1g_2) \end{aligned}$$

by the group property of $D(g)$'s. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(g_1)D_1(g_2) &= D_1(g_1g_2) \\ D_2(g_1)D_2(g_2) &= D_2(g_1g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Gamma_1 = D_1(g)$ and $\Gamma_2 = D_2(g)$ are themselves representations.

Examples:

1) Let $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{T(a)\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}^1}$ be translations on the vector space $V_1 = \{x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^1}$ such that

$$T(a)x = x + a.$$

The $T(a)$'s are not linear operators on V_1 . Consider now

$$\Gamma = \left\{ D(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(a)D(b) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= D(a+b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Γ is a reducible representation of \mathcal{T}_1 .

The two-dimensional translation group is $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{T(a_1, a_2)\}$ where

$$T(a_1, a_2) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + a_1 \\ x_2 + a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A two-dimensional representation for \mathcal{T}_2 is

$$\left\{ D(a_1, a_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 + ia_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

A four-dimensional representation is

$$\left\{ \left[\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right] \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_2 & a_1 & a_1 a_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) Suppose one is given two representations $\Gamma_i = \{D_i(g)\}$ on vector spaces V_i where D_i are matrices. The direct sum $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ is the reducible representation given by

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & D_2(g) \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

The preceding construction can be stated in a coordinate-free way as follows: If one is given two vector spaces V_1 and V_2 , their direct sum $V =$

$V_1 \oplus V_2$, is the linear combination of vectors in V_1 and V_2 in a well-known sense.

The dimension of V , $\dim V$, is $\dim V_1 + \dim V_2$. If T_1 is a linear operator on V_1 , and T_2 is a linear operator on V_2 , then the direct sum $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ is a linear operator on V defined as follows: If $z \in V$, then $z = x + y$, where $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$. We set

$$Tz = T_1x + T_2y.$$

Now given representations $\Gamma_i = \{T_i(g)\}$ on V_i , their direct sum Γ is $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 = \{T(g) = T_1(g) \oplus T_2(g)\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T(g_1)T(g_2)z &= T(g_1)(T_1(g_2)x + T_2(g_2)y) \\ &= T_1(g_1)T_1(g_2)x + T_2(g_1)T_2(g_2)y \\ &= T_1(g_1g_2)x + T_2(g_1g_2)y \\ &= T(g_1g_2)z. \end{aligned}$$

Here $z \in V_1 \oplus V_2$, $x \in V_1$, $y \in V_2$. Thus Γ is also a representation.

Now choose a basis $e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_n$ where e_i span V_1 , f_i span V_2 . Then in this basis,

$$T(g) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & D_2(g) \end{bmatrix},$$

or Γ is reducible.

ii) Full or complete reducibility

Let $\Gamma = D(g)$ be a reducible representation on a vector space V with invariant subspace V_1 . Now if there exists another invariant subspace V_2 such that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, then Γ is *fully reducible* into a direct sum $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ where Γ_i is the *restriction* of Γ to V_i . [In the preceding discussion, $\Gamma_i = \{D_i(g)\}$.]

Now Γ_1 may or may not be reducible on V_1 . If it is reducible, we can try writing V_1 itself as the direct sum of two invariant subspaces.

iii) Irreducible representations

Definition. A representation Γ on a vector space V is irreducible (IRR) if V has no invariant subspace besides $\{0\}$ and V itself.

Examples:

1) The representation

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

of \mathcal{T}_1 is reducible, but not fully reducible. Here

$$V_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^1$$

is invariant. The general form of V_2 (if it exists) will be

$$V_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda y \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mid \lambda \text{ fixed, } y \in \mathbb{R}^1 \right\}.$$

But

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda y \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y(\lambda + a) \\ y \end{pmatrix} \notin V_2 \text{ if } a \neq 0.$$

So V_2 is not invariant under \mathcal{T}_1 .

Theorem

2) Let H be a Hilbert space with scalar product: (\cdot, \cdot) . Let $\Gamma = \{U(g)\}$ be a unitary representation of G on H :

$$(x, U(g)y) = (U^\dagger(g)x, y) = (U^{-1}(g)x, y).$$

Then Γ is the direct sum of irreducible representations: $\Gamma = \oplus_i \Gamma_i$. Also, if $H = \oplus_i H_i$ is the corresponding decomposition of H , then this direct sum of H can be chosen to be an orthogonal sum, i.e., if $x_\alpha \in H_\alpha$, $x_\beta \in H_\beta$, then $(x_\alpha, x_\beta) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Proof :

Note that:

a) If H_0 is a subspace of H , then we have the decomposition $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ where the sum is an orthogonal direct sum. H_1 is the orthogonal complement of H_0 in H .

b) If U is unitary, defined on H , and H_0 is invariant under U , then so is H_1 .

For since H_0 is invariant under U and U is unitary, $\exists e_1, \dots, e_m$ spanning H_0 such that $Ue_i = \lambda_i e_i$, $|\lambda_i| = 1$. This implies that $U^{-1}e_i = \lambda_i^{-1}e_i$

so that H_0 is invariant under U^{-1} . Now let $x_0 \in H_0$, $x_1 \in H_1$. By definition, $(x_1, x_0) = 0$. To prove $(Ux_1, x_0) = 0$, we note that $(Ux_1, x_0) = (x_1, U^\dagger x_0) = (x_1, U^{-1}x_0) = (x_1, x'_0) = 0$ since $x'_0 \in H_0$.

c) Thus if H_0 is invariant under Γ , we can write $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ where H_1 is orthogonal to H_0 and invariant under Γ . If $H_i (i = 0, 1)$ has an invariant subspace under Γ , one repeats the process.

This page is intentionally left blank

LECTURE 7

i) Schur's lemma

Given two vector spaces V and W , we can think of linear operators L from V to W :

$$V \ni x \xrightarrow{L} Lx \in W$$

such that

$$L(x_1 + x_2) = Lx_1 + Lx_2$$

and

$$L(\lambda x) = \lambda Lx, \quad \lambda = \text{any complex number.}$$

For example $V = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)\}$, $W = \{(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m)\}$ and L is an $n \times m$ matrix.

Let $L : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear operator. The null space $N(L)$ of L is $\{x | Lx = 0 \in W\}$ and the range $R(L)$ of L is $\{y | y = Lx, x \in V\}$.

1. The map $L : V \rightarrow W$ is 1-1 iff $N(L)$ consists of the zero vector. *If:* $Lx_1 = Lx_2 \Rightarrow L(x_1 - x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 \in N(L) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$ since $N(L)$ consists of the zero vector. *Only if:* $\xi \in N(L) \Rightarrow Lx = L(x + \xi)$.

2. The map $L : V \rightarrow W$ is onto iff $R(L) = W$.

3. L is invertible iff $N(L) = 0$ and $R(L) = W$.

4. If L is invertible, $\dim W = \dim V$.

Proofs to 2-4:

Here 2 and 3 are obvious while 4 can be proved as follows.

Choose a basis $x_1, \dots, x_m \in V$. If $y \in W$, $\exists x \in V$ such that $y = Lx$. Then $x = \sum_i \xi_i x_i \Rightarrow y = \sum_i \xi_i (Lx_i) \Rightarrow Lx_i$ is complete in W . Also

$$\sum_i \eta_i Lx_i = 0 \Rightarrow \eta_i = 0$$

because

$$L\left(\sum \eta_i x_i\right) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i \eta_i x_i \in N(L) \Rightarrow \sum_i \eta_i x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \eta_i = 0$$

by linear independence of $\{x_i\}$. Thus the $\{Lx_i\}$ are linearly independent. As $\{Lx_i\}$ is complete as well, $\{Lx_i\}$ is a basis for W . Hence $\dim V = \dim W$.

Statement of Schur's Lemma:

1) Let $\Gamma_A = \{A(g)\}$ and $\Gamma_B = \{B(g)\}$ be two IRR's of a group $G = \{g\}$ on complex vector spaces V_A, V_B . Let $L : V_A \rightarrow V_B$ be a linear operator such that

$$LA(g) = B(g)L, \quad g \in G.$$

(One says that L intertwines the two representations.) Then either $L = 0$ or L is invertible so that

$$B(g) = LA(g)L^{-1}$$

and the two representations are equivalent. (The possibility that L is singular, but not zero is excluded.)

2) Let $\Gamma = \{D(g)\}$ be an IRR on a vector space V , and let $L : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator such that $[L, D(g)] = 0$. Then L is a multiple of the unit operator.

See H. Weyl: *Classical Groups*, Chap. 5. The following proof is from this chapter.

1) We can assume that $L \neq 0$. We then show that $N(L)$ and $R(L)$ are invariant subspaces of Γ_A and Γ_B . By irreducibility it will follow that $N(L) = \{0\}$ or V_A and $R(L) = \{0\}$ or V_B . Then $L \neq 0 \Rightarrow N(L) = \{0\}$ and $R(L) = V_B \Rightarrow L$ is invertible.

Let $x \in N(L)$, then

$$LA(g)x = B(g)Lx = 0$$

or

$$A(g)x \in N(L).$$

But this implies that $N(L)$ is invariant under Γ_A .

Let $y \in R(L)$, then $\exists x \in V_A$ such that $y = Lx$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} B(g)y &= B(g)Lx \\ &= L(A(g)x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $B(g)y \in R(L)$, and this implies that $R(L)$ is invariant under Γ_B .

2) L has at least one eigenvector x with $Lx = \lambda x$. Therefore $(L - \lambda 1)x = 0 \Rightarrow (L - \lambda 1)$ is singular. But $[L - \lambda 1, D(g)] = 0$, so by 1), $L - \lambda 1 = 0$ (since $L - \lambda 1$ is singular) or $L = \lambda 1$.

Example :

All IRR's of an Abelian group are one-dimensional. For let $\Gamma = D(a)$ be such an IRR. Then $D(a)D(b) = D(b)D(a) \Rightarrow$ for any $a_0, D(a_0)$ commutes with every $D(a)$. So 2) $\Rightarrow D(a_0) = \lambda(a_0)1$. This is true for all a_0 . Since Γ is IRR, here 1 must be a 1×1 matrix.

If $T_n = \{a\}_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ is the n -dimensional translation group, its IRR's are given by

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow \exp \sum \lambda_i a_i, (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

with λ_i fixed in a given IRR. [Prove this as an exercise. Here $\mathbb{C}^n = n$ -dimensional complex vector space.]

ii) Operations with representations and with groups

1) Let $\Gamma = D(g)$ be a representation of a group G in terms of matrices.

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad D(g_1)^* D(g_2)^* &= [D(g_1)D(g_2)]^* \\ &= D(g_1 g_2)^* \\ &\Rightarrow g \rightarrow D(g)^* \end{aligned}$$

gives a representation $\Gamma^* = \{D(a)^*\}$, the complex conjugate of Γ .

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad D(g_1)^T D(g_2)^T &= [D(g_2)D(g_1)]^T \\ &= D(g_2 g_1)^T. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} D(g_1^{-1})^T D(g_2^{-1})^T &= D((g_1 g_2)^{-1})^T \\ &\Rightarrow g \rightarrow D(g^{-1})^T \end{aligned}$$

gives a representation called the representation contragradient to Γ .

$$(c) \quad g \rightarrow D(g^{-1})^\dagger$$

also gives a representation, which can be called Γ^\dagger . If the representation is unitary,

$$D(g^{-1})^\dagger = D(g^{-1})^{-1} = D(g).$$

So (c) is the same as Γ , and (b) is the same as (a).

Γ and Γ^* may or may not be equivalent.

Example:

For $SU(2)$ or $SO(3)$, Γ and Γ^* are equivalent for any Γ . [See Problem 19.]

For $SU(3)$, $G = \{g\}$ where g is a 3×3 matrix with $\det g = 1$ and $g^\dagger = g^{-1}$. The representation $\underline{3}$ for $SU(3)$ associates the matrix g itself with g , i.e.,

$$SU(3) \ni g \rightarrow D(g) \equiv g \in \underline{3}.$$

So

$$SU(3) \ni g \rightarrow D(g)^* \equiv g^* \in \underline{3}^*.$$

Now

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i/3} & & \\ & e^{2\pi i/3} & \\ & & e^{2\pi i/3} \end{bmatrix} \in SU(3).$$

We have $D(z) = z$, but

$$D(z)^* = z^* = \begin{bmatrix} e^{4\pi i/3} & & \\ & e^{4\pi i/3} & \\ & & e^{4\pi i/3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $D(z)$, $D(z)^*$ have different spectra (their eigenvalues differ), they cannot be related by a similarity transformation. This implies that $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{3}^*$ are not equivalent. Therefore, $*$ is an outer automorphism. (An inner automorphism would be a map via conjugation by an element of G itself.)

2) Similarity transformations on representations give equivalent representations.

3) Direct sums of representations give representations.

iii) Direct product of representations

Consider two vector spaces V_i ($i = 1, 2$), V_1 with basis e_1, \dots, e_m and V_2 with basis f_1, \dots, f_n . Then $V_1 \otimes V_2$ has a basis

$$e_i \otimes f_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

A general element in $V_1 \otimes V_2$ is

$$x = \sum a_{ij} e_i \otimes f_j.$$

The dimension $\dim [V_1 \otimes V_2]$ is mn .

If L_i are linear operators on V_i , then we define $L = L_1 \otimes L_2$ by

$$Lx = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} (L_1 e_i) \otimes (L_2 f_j).$$

If $M = M_1 \otimes M_2$ is another linear operator, then clearly

$$ML = (M_1 L_1) \otimes (M_2 L_2).$$

Suppose $D^{(i)}$ is the matrix of L_i in the above basis:

$$L_1 e_i = D_{ji}^{(1)} e_j,$$

$$L_2 f_i = D_{ji}^{(2)} f_j.$$

Then the matrix of L in basis $\{e_i \otimes f_j\}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L(e_i \otimes f_j) &= D_{i'j',ij} e_{i'} \otimes f_{j'} \\ &= L_1 e_i \otimes L_2 f_j \\ &= D_{i'i}^{(1)} D_{j'j}^{(2)} e_{i'} \otimes f_{j'}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions gives

$$D_{i'j',ij} = D_{i'i}^{(1)} D_{j'j}^{(2)}.$$

If we enumerate the basis in the order $e_1 \otimes f_1, e_1 \otimes f_2, \dots, e_1 \otimes f_n; e_2 \otimes f_1, \dots, e_2 \otimes f_n; \dots, e_m \otimes f_n$, then

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} & D_{12}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} & \dots & D_{1m}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} \\ D_{21}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & D_{2m}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ D_{m1}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & D_{mm}^{(1)} & D^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus D is the Kronecker product $D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(2)}$ of $D^{(1)}$ and $D^{(2)}$.

If S_i, D_i are matrices, then recall results

$$(S_1 \otimes S_2)(D_1 \otimes D_2) = S_1 D_1 \otimes S_2 D_2$$

and

$$Tr D \equiv \sum_{i,j} D_{ij}, \quad ij = Tr D^{(1)} Tr D^{(2)}.$$

Given two representations $\Gamma_i = \{D^{(i)}(g)\}$, the direct product of the two representations $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2$ is $\Gamma = \{D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(2)}\}$. Γ is a representation of the group (see product rule above). One can define the direct product for any number of representations in this way. Γ may not be irreducible even if the Γ_i 's are.

The Clebsch-Gordan Problem

Consider representations Γ_i of G on vector spaces V_i . Then $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2$ is defined on $V_1 \otimes V_2 = V$. Assume Γ can be written as the direct sum of IRR's. Then we can pose the following problems:

- a) Write Γ as the direct sum $\Gamma = \oplus \Gamma_i$ where Γ_i are IRR.
- b) If Γ_α are acting on $V^\alpha \subset V$, find a basis for V^α in terms of those in V_i and V_2 .

Example:

$SU(2)$:

Consider IRR

V_{j_1} with basis $|j_1 \ m_1\rangle, \ m_1 = -j_1, \ \dots, \ +j_1$ Γ_{j_1}

and

V_{j_2} with basis $|j_2 \ m_2\rangle, \ m_2 = -j_2, \ \dots, \ +j_2$ Γ_{j_2} .

Then

$$\Gamma_{j_1} \otimes \Gamma_{j_2} = \oplus_{j=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} \Gamma_j.$$

A basis for Γ_j is $|j_1 \ j_2 \ j \ m\rangle, \ m = -j, \ \dots, \ +j$, with

$$|j_1 \ j_2 \ j \ m\rangle = \sum_{m=m_1+m_2} C(j_1 \ j_2 \ j, m_1 \ m_2 \ m) |j_1 \ m_1\rangle \otimes |j_2 \ m_2\rangle.$$

The $C(j_1 \ j_2 \ j, m_1 \ m_2 \ m)$ are called Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

iv) Direct products of groups

Let $G = \{g\}$ and $H = \{h\}$ be groups. Then the direct product group is

$$G \times H = \{(g, h) \mid g \in G, h \in H\},$$

with multiplication rule

$$(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2) = (g_1g_2, h_1h_2).$$

If $\{D(g)\}$, $\{S(h)\}$ are representations of G and H , then

$$\Gamma = \{D(g) \otimes S(h)\}$$

(\otimes is the Kronecker product) is a representation of $G \times H$. However, Γ may not be faithful.

Example:

$SU(2) \times SU(2)$: Consider the mapping of group products into Kronecker products:

$$(g, h) \rightarrow g \otimes h.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1) &\rightarrow 1 \otimes 1 \text{ and} \\ (-1, -1) &\rightarrow (-1) \otimes (-1) \equiv 1 \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently certain different elements in the group product can be the same in the Kronecker product.